
MADISON TOWNSHIP COMMUNITY SURVEY

SPRING / SUMMER 2009

PREPARED BY



*2 Harrison Hall
Miami University
Oxford, OH 45056
www.cpmra.muohio.edu*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY4
INTRODUCTION6
SURVEY METHODOLOGY.....7
SURVEY INSTRUMENT10
SURVEY RESULTS AND ANALYSIS.....13
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.....39
CONCLUSION.....40

APPENDIX A – SURVEY INSTRUMENT

APPENDIX B – FREQUENCY TABLES

APPENDIX C – CENSUS 2000 SUMMARY FILE (SF-1)

APPENDIX D – OHIO COUNTY PROFILES, BUTLER COUNTY, JANUARY 2009

Madison Township Community Survey

SPRING / SUMMER 2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report represents the findings of 875 responses to the Madison Township (Butler County) Community Survey. These data represent a snapshot of the views concerning a variety of issues in Madison Township at this time. Township officials may find the data useful on two levels. First, general views about the delivery and quality of the services provided to residents may be valuable in long range planning efforts. Second, residents' views and ratings of specific conditions provide baseline data for continued benchmarking and ongoing evaluation of service delivery. We are pleased to have worked with Madison Township officials on this project. Madison Township Administrator Todd Farler provided valuable input into the development and administration of the survey project.

Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University:


- Dr. Philip A. Russo, Jr., Director
- Dr. Andrew M. Dudas, Sr. Project Manager
- Lori B. Libby, Sr. Project Manager
- Dr. Mark H. Morris, Sr. Project Manager
- GlyptusAnn Grider, Maria E. Sleder, and Deanna J. Watts, Graduate Research Assistants and Daniel G. Bower, Eric M. Darlage, and Samuel B. Dolder, Undergraduate Research Assistants served on the survey project team.
- GlyptusAnn Grider and Brett M. Pendleton provided data entry assistance; Bethany A. Bowyer, James E. Hockaday, Heath R. Ingram, and Kevin W. McLaughlin provided general project assistance.

Madison Township Officials:

- Alan Daniel, Trustee
- Dwight Walter, Trustee
- Bob Ward, Trustee
- Amy Schenck, Fiscal Officer
- Todd Farler, Township Administrator

The Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University engages in applied research, technical assistance services, training and education, and data base development in the areas of public management and capacity building, local government economic development and planning, and public program evaluation and policy research. The Center's full-time professional staff holds advanced degrees in public administration, policy/program evaluation, and community planning. The Center's activities are funded by external grants and contracts from a number of funding sources including Ohio's Rural Universities Program and the U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration.

Respectfully,



Dr. Philip A. Russo, Jr.
Director, Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs
Professor, Political Science, Miami University

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Some of the key findings from the Madison Township (Butler County) Community Survey conducted by the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University include:

- 58.3% of the respondents have lived in Madison Township for more than 20 years.
- 98.0% of the respondents are homeowners.
- Respondent gender was 54.7% female and 45.53% male.
- 76.3% of the respondents are married.
- 25.6% of the respondents indicated that they had at least one child under 18 years old living in their household.
- 95.3% of the respondents are very satisfied (48.8%) or satisfied (46.5%) with living in Madison Township.
- 64.8% of the respondents think the Township has “stayed about the same” in the past five years.
- 83.0% of the respondents indicated they were “happy here and will probably stay for the next five years.”
- 43.4% of the respondents rated Madison Township as an “excellent” “place to live”; 47.2% of the respondents rated Madison Township as an “excellent” “place to raise a family.”
- 63.3% think that police protection has “stayed about the same” over the past three years.
- 66.5% and 59.8% think that fire protection and emergency medical services have “stayed about the same” over the past three years respectively.
- 56.3% think that street and road conditions and have “stayed about the same” over the past three years.
- 77.4% of the respondents strongly agree (23.3%) or agree (54.0%) that they

are satisfied with the current level of police protection provided by the Butler County Sheriff's Office.

- 79.8% of the respondents strongly agree (27.4%) or agree (52.4%) that they are satisfied with the current level of fire protection that they receive.
- 54.4% of the respondents are satisfied with the enforcement of zoning codes in Madison Township.
- Junk cars (23.3%), miscellaneous junk (22.4%), litter (21.5%), unattended pets (19.4%), vegetation height (weeds and brush) (17.5%), and maintenance of vacant buildings (17.3%) were the most commonly cited public nuisances that Madison Township has not adequately addressed.
- The most commonly cited recreational facilities that respondents and their family would be likely to use at the Madison Township Community Park of those that the Township plans to install are: paved walking path (57.1%), fitness trail / hiking trail (44.0%), picnic shelter (41.8%), and playground (28.3%).
- 76.0% of the respondents would prefer to receive official information concerning Township news, meetings, and events from the *Madison Township News* township newsletter.

INTRODUCTION

Madison Township is located in Butler County, Ohio. According to the 2000 United States Census, Madison Township had a total population of 8,647 living in 3,150 households. The estimated population for 2008 has increased to 10,125 persons living in Madison Township.

The staff at the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University conducted a mail survey in May and June 2009. Township officials were interested in assessing attitudes towards a variety of issues and services that affect residents of the Township. Specifically, they were interested in general attitudes regarding the quality of life as well as growth and development in Madison Township as well as attitudes toward the services provided to the residents of the Township including street and road conditions, police protection, fire protection, emergency medical services, and parks and recreation facilities. Identifying preferences and interests of residents can assist Township officials with long-term planning efforts for the Township.

The objectives of the survey were to better understand current satisfaction levels with Township services and to identify areas or issues that may require the attention of Township officials in the future. Through an objective analysis of survey responses, Township officials can evaluate these findings and establish priorities and strategies to better address the needs of the entire community.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University uses a modified-Dillman¹ methodology for conducting mail surveys. This method has proven to increase response rates through precise design and administration techniques. The household mailing list used to conduct this survey was provided to the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University by Madison Township. The list is used by the Township to mail the *Madison Township News* township newsletter. Because this survey was designed to collect data from residential households only, all non-residential addresses were excluded from the mailing list.

All surveys conducted by the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University are subject to review and approval by the Office for the Advancement of Scholarship and Teaching (OAST) at Miami University to insure compliance with federal regulations governing research involving the use of human subjects. In order to receive approval from OAST, the survey instrument must specifically state the rights of those who choose to participate by submitting a survey response. For the Madison Township community survey, respondents were informed that participation was voluntary, that they may choose not to answer any question, that only aggregated summaries of responses will be reported (not individual responses), and that returning the survey served as consent to use the

¹ See Dillman, Don A. 2000. *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*, 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

information it contained in the preparation of a final report. Approval of the survey instrument was granted by OAST on April 21, 2009.

A survey questionnaire was mailed to all residential households in Madison Township. A total of 2,927 households were mailed surveys in early May 2009. Each survey packet included a survey instrument and a return-addressed postage-paid business reply envelope. The survey requested that one member of the household who is 18 years of age or older and a resident of the Township complete the survey. If there were multiple members of the household who were 18 years of age or older and residents of Madison Township, the survey requested that the person with the next birthday complete the survey. Respondents were asked to complete and return the survey by May 22, 2009. A reminder card was mailed approximately two weeks after the first survey instrument had been mailed.

A second survey instrument was delivered to the Madison Township Administration Building to be mailed to all residential households in mid-June 2009. The second survey instrument packet was identical to the first one and provided households with a second opportunity to participate. Respondents were asked to complete and return the survey by July 3, 2009. Todd Farler, Township Administrator, reported that the second survey instrument was to be mailed by the Cox Publishing mailing house. However, according to Todd Farler, Township Administrator, Cox Publishing did not mail the second survey instrument until July 8, 2009, which was several days past the printed deadline to respond to the survey. This created some confusion and may have discouraged some potential

respondents.

Figure 1:

First Survey:	Delivered to Madison Township: May 5, 2009	Mailed to Residents: May 7, 2009	Deadline to Respond: May 22, 2009
Second Survey:	Delivered to Madison Township: June 18, 2009	Mailed to Residents: July 8, 2009	Deadline to Respond: July 3, 2009

A total of 875 usable responses were returned, for a response rate of 30.84%². The response rate is calculated using the total number of households mailed (2,927) less vacant (56), undeliverable (30), and unusable (4) addresses for a net total of 2,837 households.

Figure 2:

Net Households Mailed	2,837
Households Responding	875
Response Rate	30.84%

All surveys are subject to sources of error, such as bias in the wording of questions, timing, issue salience, as well as other factors. The instrument design, format, and timing attempted to increase the response rate and to minimize bias. The findings reported herein can be taken as an accurate reflection of the opinions of only those who responded to the survey. However, these opinions are ephemeral and therefore may change over time. Thus, they reflect a snapshot of respondents' views at the time the survey was conducted.

² The response rate is calculated by subtracting the vacant (56), undeliverable (30), and unusable (4) addresses from the total number of households mailed (2,927) for a net household base of 2,837.

The majority of surveys returned were completed in full. However, some respondents chose not to answer parts or specific questions in the survey. Incomplete surveys were included in the database, thus some questions may have more responses than others. Due to rounding, some of the reported percentages may not equal 100%.

SURVEY INSTRUMENT

The survey instrument was drafted by the staff at the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University in consultation with Madison Township officials. The survey questions were designed to elicit responses in several forms. While the instrument comprised forced choice, ranking, and rating intensity questions, open ended questions allowed the respondent to describe in their own words concerns about a number of issues about living in Madison Township. Appendix A contains a copy of the survey instrument.

The first set of questions asked about residents' overall views about living in Madison Township. Residents were asked to provide information about how long they have lived in Madison Township, their overall satisfaction with living in the Township, and how living in the Township had changed in the past five years. Residents were then asked to list "the three qualities that you **like** the most" and "the three qualities that you **dislike** the most" about living in Madison Township. Next, residents were asked about their intentions to remain in the Township or

move away from the Township in the next five years. Residents were also asked to rate Madison Township as a place to live, a place to raise a family, and as a place to retire. Finally, residents were asked to imagine Madison Township five years from now and indicate how much growth the Township should pursue. Residents were also given the opportunity to identify the types of growth they would like to see in Madison Township.

The second section of questions gathered information on a variety of services offered to Madison Township residents. Residents were asked about how service delivery had changed in the past three years in regard to services such as police protection, fire protection, EMS service, and street and road conditions. Residents were then asked to rate the change over the past three years of a variety of street, road, and sign conditions, including street name signs, speed limit postings, pothole repair, and snow & ice removal.

The next set of questions focused specifically on public safety, including police protection, fire protection, and emergency medical services provided to Township residents. Residents were first asked to indicate their current level of satisfaction with police protection provided by the Butler County Sheriff's Office. Residents were then asked to indicate their levels of satisfaction with a variety of areas of police service, including: on-duty patrol, response time to requests, general community outreach, and school programs and outreach. Residents were also asked whether they had contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office in the past twelve months for general information, to report a crime, or for direct assistance.

Finally, residents were asked to identify which areas of police service could be improved from among the following choices: more cruiser patrol, improved response time to requests for assistance, improved general community outreach, and improved school programs and outreach. Residents were then asked to indicate their satisfaction with fire protection through the use of volunteers in Madison Township. A follow-up question asked residents whether the Township Trustees should consider alternative staffing arrangements for emergency medical services.

A fourth set of questions asked residents about zoning code enforcement in Madison Township. Residents were asked to identify which public nuisances (including fences, junk cars, litter, maintenance of vacant buildings, miscellaneous junk, noise, vegetation height (weeds and brush), storage of recreational vehicles, unattended pets, and unregistered vehicles) that Madison Township has not adequately addressed. Additionally, residents were asked to indicate their satisfaction with the enforcement of zoning codes in Madison Township.

A fifth section of questions was designed to obtain views on the parks and recreational opportunities in the Township with regard to the Madison Township Community Park which opened in the fall of 2008. Residents were first asked how many times in a month do you or your family use the facilities at the Park. A follow-up question asked which specific facilities at the Park have you or your family used since the Park opened. Next, residents were asked their opinion on what facilities that the Township plans to install at the Park would you or your family be likely to

use. Finally, residents were asked to indicate their current level of satisfaction with parks and recreation facilities provided by Madison Township.

The next set of questions asked residents about their attitudes and perceptions about Township government, while the seventh section of questions dealt with the township's communication efforts with residents. Residents were asked how many Board of Township Trustee meetings they have attended in the past two years. Residents were also asked how many times they have visited the Township's official Internet website in the past month. Furthermore, residents were asked to identify the sources from which they would prefer to receive information concerning Township news, meetings, and events, including: the *Madison Township News* township newsletter, Township Internet web site, and in local newspapers.

The final set of questions collected a number of demographic characteristics including: home ownership, family size and age groupings, gender, marital status, and the years in which the respondent and their spouse, if applicable, were born. The last section of the survey provided respondents with an opportunity to write-in additional thoughts or comments if they desired.

SURVEY RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS: The Madison Township Community Survey collected baseline demographic data from responding households. This demographic data can be compared to the 2000 Census results

to gauge the characteristics of household respondents as compared to actual Census demographics.


Table 1: Demographic Characteristics

Demographic Category	2000 Census	2009 Survey
Gender:		
Male	50.3%	45.3%
Female	49.7%	54.7%
Marital Status:		
Married	67.1%	76.3%
Single (never married)	17.5%	4.0%
Single (divorced)	8.9%	7.9%
Surviving Spouse	4.9%	10.6%
Households with Minor Children	30.6%	25.6%
Home Ownership	91.1%	98.0%


As Table 1 indicates, female, married, surviving spouse, and home owner respondents are slightly over-represented in the survey, while male, single (never married), and single (divorced) respondents as well as households with minor children are under-represented in the household population. However, this Census comparison suggests that the respondents were proportionately representative of the overall population of Madison Township.

TOWNSHIP LIFE: It is not uncommon for long-term residents (more than 20 years) to account for a majority of the responses to a community survey of this nature. As the chart below indicates, survey respondents represent a distribution across all categories of length of residency. While nearly six out of ten respondents were long-term residents, slightly less than ten percent have resided in the Township for five years or less. This is a positive indicator of wide spread


interest in the Township by both longer-term residents as well as relative newcomers.



Well over nine out of ten respondents indicated their overall satisfaction with living in Madison Township. Nearly five in ten respondents indicated that they were very satisfied (48.8%) with living in the Township; another 46.5% were satisfied with living in the Township. Only 4.2% of the respondents indicated they were either dissatisfied (2.6%) or very dissatisfied (1.6%) with living in Madison Township.



As a second measure of satisfaction, the survey asked residents to consider how living in the Township has changed in the past five years. While 64.8% indicated that Madison Township has “stayed about the same,” 24.6% indicated that Madison Township has “become a better place to live.” 7.4% thought the Township has “become a worse place to live” in the past five years.



Residents were asked to identify “the three qualities that you **like** the most” and “the three qualities that you **dislike** the most” about living in Madison Township. Over 3,300 discrete comments were provided in response to these two questions (approximately 2,000 positive (“likes”) aspects and more than 1,250 negative (“dislikes”) aspects). A content analysis was conducted on both sets of comments allowing similar responses to be aggregated into general categories. Approximately six out of ten positive aspects identified as qualities that people like about living in Madison Township were aggregated into “quality of life” issues. These positive quality of life aspects included such things as small town

atmosphere, country living, rural setting, peace and quiet, privacy, and good people/neighbors. Slightly more than 20% of respondents mentioned the quality of public services provided to residents, particularly road maintenance (including snow removal), and fire protection. Another positive aspect identified by over 14% of respondents included a variety of positive comments related to the Madison Local School District.


The negative aspects to living in the Township were more broadly dispersed across a variety of categories. Government related issues (including tax rates, Township services, and politics) and issues related to zoning including the current zoning code, zoning enforcement, property maintenance, and nuisances were the most frequently cited qualities that respondents dislike about living in Madison Township. Issues relating to growth and development (including the lack of businesses in the Township, especially a grocery store) were also cited as qualities that respondents dislike about living in Madison Township.

Table 2: Qualities That You Like/Dislike the Most About Living in Madison Township



Like (N=Varies)	Dislike (N=Varies)
Quality of Life (57.6%)	Government related issues (31.0%)
Quality of Public Services (20.5%)	Zoning (18.2%)
Schools (14.0%)	Growth and Development (13.1%)
Proximity / Location of Township (7.1%)	Proximity / Location of Township (8.6%)

While a previous question asked residents to take a retrospective look and assess change over the past five years, the next two questions asked them to look into the future. Again using a five-year time horizon, respondents were asked to indicate both their level of happiness and whether or not they anticipated staying in

the Township. As the following chart shows, more than eight out of ten respondents are both happy in Madison Township and intend to stay for the next five years. This information may be useful to the Township as it looks to develop long-term plans and strategies for what appears to be a very stable population base.



Furthermore, residents were asked to rate Madison Township as a place to live, a place to raise a family, and a place to retire. An overwhelming majority of respondents (93.6%) rated Madison Township as either an “excellent” (43.4%) or a “good” (50.2%) place to live. Similar numbers of respondents rated Madison Township as either an “excellent” (47.2%) or a “good” (44.1%) place to raise a family. However, fewer respondents rated Madison Township as either an “excellent” (31.0%) or “good” (40.5%) place to retire.




Finally, the survey asked respondents to imagine the Township five years from now and indicate what types of growth they would like to see in the Township. A content analysis of the 601 responses to this question allowed similar responses to be aggregated into general categories. Slightly more than 30% of respondents would like to see Madison Township pursue retail growth; many of these respondents identified a grocery store, as the retail option that they would most like to see in the Township. Over 20% of respondents indicated that they would like to see Madison Township pursue no additional growth and development and keep the status quo. 9.3% of respondents indicated that they would prefer controlled or restricted growth in terms of improved land use planning, and restrictions on the quantity of new residential homes being built in the Township. Finally, slightly less than 7% of respondents indicated that they would like to see the township continue to set aside land for parks and recreational facilities and continue developing the Madison Township Community Park.

TOWNSHIP SERVICES: Madison Township provides a number of services to its residents. Residents were asked to indicate whether these township services had “become better,” “stayed about the same,” or “become worse” over the past three years. Over 50% of respondents indicated that all four services had either “become better” or “stayed about the same” over the past three years. Street and road conditions were rated the most favorably of the four services as over 80% of respondents indicated that this service had either “become better” or “stayed about the same” over the past three years. Details are shown in the table below.


Table 3: Township Services Over the Past Three Years (N=varies)

	become better	stayed about the same	become worse	no opinion
police protection	23.5%	63.3%	4.3%	8.9%
fire protection	20.4%	66.5%	1.2%	11.9%
EMS service	26.5%	59.8%	2.4%	11.4%
street and road conditions	33.5%	56.3%	7.5%	2.7%


Residents were then asked to assess the condition and maintenance of streets, roads, and signs in Madison Township over the same period of time. As the chart below indicates, most respondents indicated that the condition and maintenance of streets, roads, and signs in the Township have “stayed about the same” (pink bars) over the past three years. One particular service that stood out positively in the responses to this question was that of snow & ice removal. 46.8% of respondents indicated that this particular service had “become better” over the past three years.




PUBLIC SAFETY – POLICE PROTECTION: The next section of the survey asked residents to consider police protection, fire protection, and emergency medical services provided to Madison Township residents. The first question asked residents about their satisfaction with the current level of police protection provided by the Butler County Sheriff’s Office. Respondents indicated a generally high satisfaction rate with the level of police protection provided by the Butler County Sheriff’s Office.




Looking more specifically at police services, residents were asked to indicate their satisfaction with several specific areas of police service. While there were a fair number of no opinion responses (11.0%, 20.9%, 27.0%, and 30.9% respectively) to this question, respondents expressed their overall satisfaction with on-duty patrol, response time to requests, general community outreach, and school programs and outreach.




Residents were then asked whether they had contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office in the past twelve months. 12.5% of respondents had contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office for general information, while 12.1% had contacted them for direct assistance. The Butler County Sheriff's Office had been contacted by 16.2% of respondents who wanted to report a crime. 61.9% of respondents indicated that they had no contact with the Sheriff's Office in the past twelve months.




Finally, residents were asked to identify areas in which police service could be improved. Of significance in response to this question, 33.8% of respondents indicated that they would like to see “more cruiser patrol” to improve police service.




PUBLIC SAFETY - FIRE PROTECTION AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES: Previously, residents were asked to assess the change over time with regard to fire protection and EMS service in the Township. In this section of the survey, respondents indicated generally high satisfaction rates with the level of fire protection provided to residents.




Residents were then asked whether the Township Trustees should consider alternative staffing arrangements for emergency medical services. Currently, the Township provides emergency medical services through the use of paid, part-time personnel who are on duty Monday through Friday from 5 AM to 5 PM while volunteers provide coverage for the rest of the time. 20.6% of respondents indicated that they think the Trustees should consider alternative staffing arrangements while 32.6% did not. 46.8% of respondents expressed no opinion on the matter.




ZONING CODE ENFORCEMENT: The next set of questions was designed to capture opinions regarding zoning regulations and code enforcement. The first question asked respondents to identify which public nuisances they believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed. Respondents identified junk cars (23.3%), miscellaneous junk (22.4%), litter (21.5%), unattended pets (19.4%), vegetation height (weeds and brush) (17.5%), and maintenance of vacant buildings (17.3%) as the top six nuisances not adequately addressed by the township.




The final question in this section asked residents about their satisfaction levels with the enforcement of zoning codes in Madison Township. Over 60% of respondents indicated that they were either very satisfied (11.8%) or satisfied (54.4%) with zoning code enforcement in the Township. Slightly more than 20% of respondents expressed no opinion on this issue (22.2%).




PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES: Township residents were asked several questions regarding the Madison Township Community Park which opened in the fall of 2008. Residents were initially asked to indicate, on average, how many times in a month they or their family uses facilities at the Park. The majority of respondents (76.4%) indicated that, on average, they did not use facilities at the Park in a month. 19.1% use facilities at the Park 1-3 times per month.




More specifically, residents were asked to indicate which specific facilities have they or their family used at the Park. 8.3% of respondents have used the ball fields since the Park opened while 6.7% have used the soccer fields since the Park opened.



Looking to the future, residents were given a list of facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park to gauge how likely residents are to use the various planned facilities. The most frequently cited facilities were the paved walking path (57.1%), fitness trail / hiking trail (44.0%), picnic shelter (41.8%), playground (28.3%), and splash pad (25.3%).




The final question in this section asked residents about their satisfaction with the current level of parks and recreation facilities provided by Madison Township. Nearly 50% of respondents indicated satisfaction with the level of parks and recreation facilities provided by Madison Township while 41.3% were neutral on this issue.



CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AND TOWNSHIP GOVERNMENT: In addition to collecting attitudes and opinions regarding public services provided by the Township, the survey also sought to collect information regarding residents' views toward Township government. Using a set of questions that have been used in other surveys in other communities, we can compare the attitudes of Madison Township residents with individuals nationally. Based upon statements drawn from The American National Election Study (ANES)³, we can compare Madison Township survey results with the national results. Higher levels of disagreement with this set of questions indicate that residents have positive feelings towards


³ The American National Election Studies (www.electionstudies.org). *The ANES Guide to Public Opinion and Electoral Behavior*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies [producer and distributor], 2004. These materials are based on work supported by the National Science Foundation and a number of other sponsors. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in these materials are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding organizations.

their ability to understand and influence the political process. Madison Township survey respondents are above the national average on two out of the three comparative measures. We do urge caution in the interpretation and use of these results as many factors can and do influence individual responses to questions of this nature. With slightly more than three out of ten respondents disagreeing with the statement, “People like me do not have any say in what Township government does,” this may provide the Township with an opportunity to reach out and improve communications to change this negative perception. Also, 37.7% of respondents disagreed with the statement that “Sometimes Township affairs seem so complicated that a resident like me cannot really understand what is going on.” This level of disagreement would seem to indicate that there are some residents that find Township affairs complicated.




TOWNSHIP GOVERNMENT: Another set of questions was designed so that residents could consider issues pertaining to Madison Township Trustee meetings and the Township's communication efforts with residents. The first question asked residents to indicate how many Township Trustee meetings they have attended in the past two years. More than eight out of ten respondents (81.5%) have not attended a meeting in that timeframe. Slightly less than fifteen percent (13.6%) of respondents have attended 1-3 meetings in the past two years, while the remaining 4.9% of respondents have attended 4 or more meetings in that timeframe.

The next question in this section asked residents to indicate how many times they have visited Madison Township's official Internet website in the past month. Over 80% of respondents (80.2%) had not visited the website in the past month. 16.3% of respondents had visited the website 1-3 times in the past month, while the remaining 3.4% of respondents had visited the website 4 or more times in the past month.



The final question in this section asked from what sources respondents would prefer to receive official information concerning Township news, meetings, and events. The most frequently cited choice (76.0%) for a source of information was the *Madison Township News* township newsletter. This may be taken as a signal that residents look to the *Madison Township News* newsletter as a valuable source of Township information. 33.8% would like to see official information concerning Township news, meeting, and events in local newspapers. Only 19.9% of respondents would prefer to receive official information about the Township from the Township Internet web site.



ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Respondents were provided with the opportunity at the end of the survey to make additional comments. Over 55% of all respondents took the opportunity to make an additional comment about Madison Township. A content analysis of the Additional Comments identifies several recurring themes that some respondents took the time to write about. These themes include the desire to maintain the quiet, country living atmosphere of Madison Township. Controlling growth and development was important to some respondents so that Madison Township does not become similar to other fast growing townships within Butler County. However, some respondents did indicate their desire to see Madison Township attract some new businesses particularly a grocery store. Other respondents took the time to

praise the Madison Township elected officials, employees, and volunteers for their work and efforts. Another recurring theme revolved around Madison Township being a good place to live. Other respondents took the opportunity to call attention to a variety of specific problems affecting the entire township, an area of the township, or their own neighborhood. Finally, some respondents expressed appreciation for the survey and the opportunity to provide their opinions; and hoped that the results of the survey would be eventually publicized.

CONCLUSION

Nearly one-third of those households who received a Madison Township Community Survey chose to participate and returned a completed survey. This is a positive indication of public interest within the Township. In general, respondents indicated a fairly high degree of satisfaction with the public services provided to residents of Madison Township. This overall satisfaction is reflected in the large number of respondents who indicated their expectation to remain in the Township for the next five years. Respondents indicated an interest in additional public services such as developing additional parks and recreational facilities at the Madison Township Community Park.

This community survey provides a baseline set of data that may be useful in addressing both the current and future needs of Madison Township. The Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University recommends the Township conduct a similar community survey in three to five years to better assess both change and progress in the Township over time.

APPENDIX A – SURVEY INSTRUMENT

MADISON TOWNSHIP, BUTLER COUNTY, OHIO

Dear Madison Township Resident:

The Madison Township Board of Trustees has asked the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at Miami University to conduct a survey of Township households to assess a variety of issues and services that affect residents of the Township. The purpose of this survey is to gather information from Township households about their:

- general attitudes regarding the quality of life as well as growth and development in Madison Township, and
- attitudes toward the services provided to the residents of Madison Township including street and road conditions, parks and recreational facilities, and police protection.

Every household in Madison Township is receiving this survey. Please be assured that your participation is voluntary and you may choose not to answer any question. However, if you do respond, be assured that individual responses remain strictly confidential. Only an aggregated summary of responses will be provided in the final report produced by the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs for Township officials. By returning the survey, you consent to the use of the information it contains in the preparation of the final report. We would appreciate your taking a few minutes to complete the enclosed survey.

The survey should be completed by one member of your household who is 18 years of age or older and is a resident of Madison Township. If there are multiple members of the household who are 18 years of age or older, we ask that the person who has the next birthday complete the survey.

For your convenience we have provided a self-addressed, postage paid envelope to return your completed survey. **PLEASE RETURN YOUR COMPLETED SURVEY BY FRIDAY MAY 22, 2009.** Your participation is greatly appreciated. Thank you.

If you have any questions regarding this survey, please feel free to contact Andrew Dudas at the Center for Public Management and Regional Affairs at (513) 529-6959 or Madison Township Administrator Todd Farler at (513) 424-0821. You may also contact the Office for the Advancement of Scholarship and Teaching at Miami University at (513) 529-3734 with additional questions regarding your rights as a survey respondent. *Please begin the survey below...*

LIVING IN MADISON TOWNSHIP – We would like to know a little about you and your overall views about life in Madison Township.

- How long have you lived in Madison Township? Please write your response in the space: _____ years
- Overall, how satisfied are you with living in Madison Township? Please check one.
 very satisfied satisfied dissatisfied very dissatisfied no opinion
- In the past five years, do you think Madison Township has “**become a better place to live**,” “**stayed about the same**,” or “**become a worse place to live**”? Please check one.
 become a better place to live stayed about the same become a worse place to live no opinion
- Please identify the three qualities that you **like** the most about living in Madison Township.
 - _____
 - _____
 - _____
- Please identify the three qualities that you **dislike** the most about living in Madison Township.
 - _____
 - _____
 - _____
- Which one of the following statements best describes how you feel about living in Madison Township? Please check one.
 - “I am **happy** here and will probably **stay** for the next five years.”
 - “I am **happy** here but will probably **move** in the next five years.”
 - “I am **unhappy** here but will probably **stay** for the next five years.”
 - “I am **unhappy** here and will probably **move** in the next five years.”
 - no opinion

7. How would you rate Madison Township...

	excellent	good	fair	poor	no opinion
as a place to live	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
as a place to raise a family	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
as a place to retire	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8. When imagining Madison Township five years from now, what types of growth would you like to see in the Township? Please write your response in the spaces below.

TOWNSHIP SERVICES – We would like to know your opinion on a variety of services provided to the residents of Madison Township. Please consider your own experience with these services as you answer each question.

9. Over the past three years, have the following services listed below “become better,” “stayed about the same,” or “become worse”? Please check one for each.

	become better	stayed about the same	become worse	no opinion
police protection	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
fire protection	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
EMS service	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
street and road conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10. We would like your assessment of the condition and maintenance of our streets, roads, and signs in Madison Township. Over the past three years, have the following street, road, and sign conditions listed below “become better,” “stayed about the same,” or “become worse”? Please check one for each.

	become better	stayed about the same	become worse	no opinion
street name signs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
speed limit postings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
pothole repair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
snow & ice removal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

PUBLIC SAFETY – To serve our community better, we would like to ask you a few questions about police protection, fire protection, and emergency medical services provided to Madison Township residents.

11. Madison Township currently contracts with the Butler County Sheriff’s Office for police protection. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: “I am satisfied with the current level of **police protection** provided by the Butler County Sheriff’s Office.” Please check one.

- strongly agree agree neutral disagree strongly disagree

12. In general, how satisfied are you with each of the following areas of police service? Please check one for each.

	very satisfied	satisfied	dissatisfied	very dissatisfied	no opinion
on-duty patrol	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
response time to requests	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
general community outreach	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
school programs and outreach	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13. In the past 12 months, have you contacted the Butler County Sheriff’s Office for... Please check all that apply.

- general information to report a crime direct assistance no contact

14. Are there any areas in which police service could be improved? Please check all that apply.

- more cruiser patrol
improved response time to requests for assistance
improved general community outreach
improved school programs and outreach

15. Madison Township provides fire protection through the use of volunteers. In general, how satisfied are you with fire protection in Madison Township? Please check one.

- very satisfied satisfied dissatisfied very dissatisfied no opinion

16. Madison Township provides emergency medical services through the use of paid, part-time personnel who are on duty Monday through Friday from 5 AM to 5 PM while volunteers provide coverage the rest of the time. Do you think the Township Trustees should consider alternative staffing arrangements? Please check one and provide any additional comments in the spaces below.

- yes no no opinion

ZONING CODE ENFORCEMENT – Currently Madison Township is under Butler County zoning regulations and code enforcement.

17. Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed? Please check all that apply.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> fences | <input type="checkbox"/> noise |
| <input type="checkbox"/> junk cars | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation height (weeds and brush) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> litter | <input type="checkbox"/> storage of recreational vehicles |
| <input type="checkbox"/> maintenance of vacant buildings | <input type="checkbox"/> unattended pets |
| <input type="checkbox"/> miscellaneous junk | <input type="checkbox"/> unregistered vehicles |

18. Overall, how satisfied are you with the enforcement of zoning codes in Madison Township? Please check one.

- very satisfied satisfied dissatisfied very dissatisfied no opinion

PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES – We would like to ask you a few questions regarding parks and recreational opportunities in Madison Township. The Madison Township Community Park, located at 5610 West Alexandria Road, opened in the Fall of 2008.

19. On average, how many times in a month do you or your family use facilities at the Madison Township Community Park? Please check one.

- none 1-3 4-6 7-9 10 or more

20. Which of the following facilities have you or your family used at the Madison Township Community Park since it opened last Fall? Please check all that apply.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ball fields | <input type="checkbox"/> tennis courts |
| <input type="checkbox"/> soccer fields | <input type="checkbox"/> fishing pond |

21. Which of the following facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park would you or your family be likely to use? Please check all that apply.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> playground | <input type="checkbox"/> splash pad (a water play area with no standing water) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> picnic shelter | <input type="checkbox"/> fitness trail/hiking trail |
| <input type="checkbox"/> frisbee golf course | <input type="checkbox"/> paved walking path |

22. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: "I am satisfied with the current level of **parks and recreation facilities** provided by Madison Township." Please check one.

- strongly agree agree neutral disagree strongly disagree

TOWNSHIP GOVERNMENT – The following section includes statements that have been asked of residents in studies of other local communities. Please indicate your response to each statement.

23. People like me do not have any say about what Township government does. Please check one.

- strongly agree agree neutral disagree strongly disagree

24. Sometimes Township affairs seem so complicated that a resident like me cannot really understand what is going on. Please check one.

- strongly agree agree neutral disagree strongly disagree

25. I do not think Township officials care much about what people like me think. Please check one.

- strongly agree agree neutral disagree strongly disagree

26. How much attention do you think Township government pays to what people think when it decides what to do? Please check one.

- a good deal some not much don't know

27. How much do you feel that having elections makes Township government pay attention to what people think? Please check one.

- a good deal some not much don't know

28. Would you say Township government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all people? Please check one.

- a few big interests for the benefit of all don't know

APPENDIX B – FREQUENCY TABLES

Frequencies - Madison Township Community Survey - 2009

Overall, how satisfied are you with living in Madison Township?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very satisfied	420	48.0	48.8	48.8
	satisfied	400	45.7	46.5	95.2
	dissatisfied	22	2.5	2.6	97.8
	very dissatisfied	14	1.6	1.6	99.4
	no opinion	5	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	861	98.4	100.0	
Missing	System	14	1.6		
Total		875	100.0		

In the past five years, do you think Madison Township has "become a better place to live," "stayed about the same," or "become a worse place to live"?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become a better place to live	206	23.5	24.6	24.6
	stayed about the same	542	61.9	64.8	89.5
	become a worse place to live	62	7.1	7.4	96.9
	no opinion	26	3.0	3.1	100.0
	Total	836	95.5	100.0	
Missing	System	39	4.5		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following statements best describes how you feel about living in Madison Township?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I am happy here and will probably stay for the next five yrs	709	81.0	83.0	83.0
	I am happy here but will probably move in the next 5 years.	88	10.1	10.3	93.3
	I am unhappy here but will probably stay for the next 5 yrs.	19	2.2	2.2	95.6
	I am unhappy here and will probably move in the next 5 yrs.	15	1.7	1.8	97.3
	no opinion	23	2.6	2.7	100.0
	Total	854	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	21	2.4		
Total		875	100.0		

How would you rate Madison Township as a place...to live?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	374	42.7	43.4	43.4
	good	432	49.4	50.2	93.6
	fair	44	5.0	5.1	98.7
	poor	7	.8	.8	99.5
	no opinion	4	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	861	98.4	100.0	
Missing	System	14	1.6		
Total		875	100.0		

How would you rate Madison Township as a place...to raise a family?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	394	45.0	47.2	47.2
	good	368	42.1	44.1	91.3
	fair	46	5.3	5.5	96.8
	poor	8	.9	1.0	97.7
	no opinion	19	2.2	2.3	100.0
	Total	835	95.4	100.0	
Missing	System	40	4.6		
Total		875	100.0		

How would you rate Madison Township as a place...to retire?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	excellent	258	29.5	31.0	31.0
	good	337	38.5	40.5	71.4
	fair	153	17.5	18.4	89.8
	poor	58	6.6	7.0	96.8
	no opinion	27	3.1	3.2	100.0
	Total	833	95.2	100.0	
Missing	System	42	4.8		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following services listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? police protection?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	200	22.9	23.5	23.5
	stayed about the same	539	61.6	63.3	86.7
	become worse	37	4.2	4.3	91.1
	no opinion	76	8.7	8.9	100.0
	Total	852	97.4	100.0	
Missing	System	23	2.6		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following services listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? fire protection?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	173	19.8	20.4	20.4
	stayed about the same	564	64.5	66.5	86.9
	become worse	10	1.1	1.2	88.1
	no opinion	101	11.5	11.9	100.0
	Total	848	96.9	100.0	
Missing	System	27	3.1		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following services listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? EMS service?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	224	25.6	26.5	26.5
	stayed about the same	505	57.7	59.8	86.3
	become worse	20	2.3	2.4	88.6
	no opinion	96	11.0	11.4	100.0
	Total	845	96.6	100.0	
Missing	System	30	3.4		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following services listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? street and road conditions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	286	32.7	33.5	33.5
	stayed about the same	480	54.9	56.3	89.8
	become worse	64	7.3	7.5	97.3
	no opinion	23	2.6	2.7	100.0
	Total	853	97.5	100.0	
Missing	System	22	2.5		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following street, road, and sign conditions listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? street name signs?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	183	20.9	21.6	21.6
	stayed about the same	606	69.3	71.6	93.3
	become worse	21	2.4	2.5	95.7
	no opinion	36	4.1	4.3	100.0
	Total	846	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	29	3.3		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following street, road, and sign conditions listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? speed limit postings?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	168	19.2	19.9	19.9
	stayed about the same	608	69.5	72.1	92.1
	become worse	27	3.1	3.2	95.3
	no opinion	40	4.6	4.7	100.0
	Total	843	96.3	100.0	
Missing	System	32	3.7		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following street, road, and sign conditions listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? pothole repair?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	259	29.6	30.9	30.9
	stayed about the same	493	56.3	58.8	89.7
	become worse	49	5.6	5.8	95.6
	no opinion	37	4.2	4.4	100.0
	Total	838	95.8	100.0	
Missing	System	37	4.2		
Total		875	100.0		

Over the past three years, have the following street, road, and sign conditions listed below "become better," "stayed about the same," or "become worse"? snow & ice removal?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	become better	397	45.4	46.8	46.8
	stayed about the same	386	44.1	45.5	92.2
	become worse	44	5.0	5.2	97.4
	no opinion	22	2.5	2.6	100.0
	Total	849	97.0	100.0	
Missing	System	26	3.0		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: "I am satisfied with the current level of police protection provided by the Butler County Sheriff's Office."

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	197	22.5	23.3	23.3
	agree	456	52.1	54.0	77.4
	neutral	141	16.1	16.7	94.1
	disagree	37	4.2	4.4	98.5
	strongly disagree	13	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	844	96.5	100.0	
Missing	System	31	3.5		
Total		875	100.0		

In general, how satisfied are you with each of the following areas of police service? on-duty patrol

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very satisfied	182	20.8	21.5	21.5
	satisfied	488	55.8	57.8	79.3
	dissatisfied	71	8.1	8.4	87.7
	very dissatisfied	11	1.3	1.3	89.0
	no opinion	93	10.6	11.0	100.0
	Total	845	96.6	100.0	
Missing	System	30	3.4		
Total		875	100.0		

In general, how satisfied are you with each of the following areas of police service? response time to requests

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very satisfied	180	20.6	21.6	21.6
	satisfied	409	46.7	49.0	70.6
	dissatisfied	56	6.4	6.7	77.3
	very dissatisfied	15	1.7	1.8	79.1
	no opinion	174	19.9	20.9	100.0
	Total	834	95.3	100.0	
Missing	System	41	4.7		
Total		875	100.0		

In general, how satisfied are you with each of the following areas of police service? general community outreach

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very satisfied	147	16.8	17.8	17.8
	satisfied	398	45.5	48.2	66.1
	dissatisfied	43	4.9	5.2	71.3
	very dissatisfied	14	1.6	1.7	73.0
	no opinion	223	25.5	27.0	100.0
	Total	825	94.3	100.0	
Missing	System	50	5.7		
Total		875	100.0		

In general, how satisfied are you with each of the following areas of police service? school programs and outreach

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very satisfied	162	18.5	19.6	19.6
	satisfied	378	43.2	45.7	65.2
	dissatisfied	21	2.4	2.5	67.8
	very dissatisfied	11	1.3	1.3	69.1
	no opinion	256	29.3	30.9	100.0
	Total	828	94.6	100.0	
Missing	System	47	5.4		
Total		875	100.0		

In the past 12 months, have you contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office for...general information.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	109	12.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	766	87.5		
Total		875	100.0		

In the past 12 months, have you contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office for...to report a crime.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	142	16.2	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	733	83.8		
Total		875	100.0		

In the past 12 months, have you contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office for...direct assistance.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	106	12.1	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	769	87.9		
Total		875	100.0		

In the past 12 months, have you contacted the Butler County Sheriff's Office for...no contact.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	542	61.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	333	38.1		
Total		875	100.0		

Are there any areas in which police service could be improved? more cruiser patrol

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	296	33.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	579	66.2		
Total		875	100.0		

Are there any areas in which police service could be improved? improved response time to requests for assistance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	117	13.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	758	86.6		
Total		875	100.0		

Are there any areas in which police service could be improved? improved general community outreach

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	109	12.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	766	87.5		
Total		875	100.0		

Are there any areas in which police service could be improved? improved school programs and outreach

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	78	8.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	797	91.1		
Total		875	100.0		

In general, how satisfied are you with fire protection in Madison Township?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very satisfied	235	26.9	27.4	27.4
	satisfied	449	51.3	52.4	79.8
	dissatisfied	20	2.3	2.3	82.1
	very dissatisfied	2	.2	.2	82.4
	no opinion	151	17.3	17.6	100.0
	Total	857	97.9	100.0	
Missing	System	18	2.1		
Total		875	100.0		

Do you think the Township Trustees should consider alternative staffing arrangements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	168	19.2	20.6	20.6
	no	266	30.4	32.6	53.2
	no opinion	382	43.7	46.8	100.0
	Total	816	93.3	100.0	
Missing	System	59	6.7		
Total		875	100.0		

**Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed?
fences?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	21	2.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	854	97.6		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed? junk cars?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	204	23.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	671	76.7		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed? litter?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	188	21.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	687	78.5		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed? maintenance of vacant buildings?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	151	17.3	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	724	82.7		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed? miscellaneous junk?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	196	22.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	679	77.6		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed? noise?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	120	13.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	755	86.3		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed? vegetation height (weeds and brush)?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	153	17.5	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	722	82.5		
Total		875	100.0		

**Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed?
storage of recreational vehicles?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	42	4.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	833	95.2		
Total		875	100.0		

**Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed?
unattended pets?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	170	19.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	705	80.6		
Total		875	100.0		

**Which of the following public nuisances, if any, do you believe Madison Township has not adequately addressed?
unregistered vehicles?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	56	6.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	819	93.6		
Total		875	100.0		

Overall, how satisfied are you with the enforcement of zoning codes in Madison Township?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	very satisfied	98	11.2	11.8	11.8
	satisfied	451	51.5	54.4	66.2
	dissatisfied	73	8.3	8.8	75.0
	very dissatisfied	23	2.6	2.8	77.8
	no opinion	184	21.0	22.2	100.0
	Total	829	94.7	100.0	
Missing	System	46	5.3		
Total		875	100.0		

On average, how many times in a month do you or your family use facilities at the Madison Township Community Park?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	none	647	73.9	76.4	76.4
	1-3	162	18.5	19.1	95.5
	4-6	18	2.1	2.1	97.6
	7-9	7	.8	.8	98.5
	10 or more	13	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	847	96.8	100.0	
Missing	System	28	3.2		
Total		875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities have you or your family used at the Madison Township Community Park since it opened last Fall? ball fields?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	73	8.3	100.0	100.0
Missing System	802	91.7		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities have you or your family used at the Madison Township Community Park since it opened last Fall? soccer fields?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	59	6.7	100.0	100.0
Missing System	816	93.3		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities have you or your family used at the Madison Township Community Park since it opened last Fall? tennis courts

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	36	4.1	100.0	100.0
Missing System	839	95.9		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities have you or your family used at the Madison Township Community Park since it opened last Fall? fishing pond?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	34	3.9	100.0	100.0
Missing System	841	96.1		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park would you or your family be likely to use? playground?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	248	28.3	100.0	100.0
Missing System	627	71.7		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park would you or your family be likely to use? picnic shelter?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	366	41.8	100.0	100.0
Missing System	509	58.2		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park would you or your family be likely to use? frisbee golf course?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	89	10.2	100.0	100.0
Missing System	786	89.8		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park would you or your family be likely to use? splash pad?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	221	25.3	100.0	100.0
Missing System	654	74.7		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park would you or your family be likely to use? fitness trail/hiking trail?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	385	44.0	100.0	100.0
Missing System	490	56.0		
Total	875	100.0		

Which of the following facilities that the Township plans to install at the Madison Township Community Park would you or your family be likely to use? paved walking path?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid yes	500	57.1	100.0	100.0
Missing System	375	42.9		
Total	875	100.0		

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement: "I am satisfied with the current level of parks and recreation facilities provided by Madison Township."

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid strongly agree	71	8.1	8.9	8.9
agree	304	34.7	38.2	47.2
neutral	328	37.5	41.3	88.4
disagree	69	7.9	8.7	97.1
strongly disagree	23	2.6	2.9	100.0
Total	795	90.9	100.0	
Missing System	80	9.1		
Total	875	100.0		

People like me do not have any say about what Township government does.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	55	6.3	6.6	6.6
	agree	169	19.3	20.2	26.8
	neutral	336	38.4	40.1	66.9
	disagree	228	26.1	27.2	94.1
	strongly disagree	49	5.6	5.9	100.0
	Total	837	95.7	100.0	
Missing	System	38	4.3		
Total		875	100.0		

Sometimes Township affairs seem so complicated that a resident like me cannot really understand what is going on.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	38	4.3	4.6	4.6
	agree	164	18.7	19.8	24.3
	neutral	315	36.0	38.0	62.3
	disagree	253	28.9	30.5	92.8
	strongly disagree	60	6.9	7.2	100.0
	Total	830	94.9	100.0	
Missing	System	45	5.1		
Total		875	100.0		

I do not think Township officials care much about what people like me think.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	strongly agree	73	8.3	8.7	8.7
	agree	148	16.9	17.7	26.5
	neutral	251	28.7	30.1	56.5
	disagree	294	33.6	35.2	91.7
	strongly disagree	69	7.9	8.3	100.0
	Total	835	95.4	100.0	
Missing	System	40	4.6		
Total		875	100.0		

How much attention do you think Township government pays to what people think when it decides what to do?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	a good deal	177	20.2	21.0	21.0
	some	331	37.8	39.3	60.3
	not much	191	21.8	22.7	83.0
	don't know	143	16.3	17.0	100.0
	Total	842	96.2	100.0	
Missing	System	33	3.8		
Total		875	100.0		

How much do you feel that having elections makes Township government pay attention to what people think?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	a good deal	319	36.5	37.7	37.7
	some	307	35.1	36.3	74.0
	not much	140	16.0	16.5	90.5
	don't know	80	9.1	9.5	100.0
	Total	846	96.7	100.0	
Missing	System	29	3.3		
Total		875	100.0		

Would you say Township government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all people?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	a few big interests	219	25.0	26.2	26.2
	for the benefit of all	316	36.1	37.8	63.9
	don't know	302	34.5	36.1	100.0
	Total	837	95.7	100.0	
Missing	System	38	4.3		
Total		875	100.0		

In the past two years, how many Madison Township Board of Trustee meetings have you attended?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	none	700	80.0	81.5	81.5
	1 - 3	117	13.4	13.6	95.1
	4 - 6	29	3.3	3.4	98.5
	7 - 9	6	.7	.7	99.2
	10 or more	7	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	859	98.2	100.0	
Missing	System	16	1.8		
Total		875	100.0		

In the past month, how many times have you visited Madison Township's official internet website at <http://www.madisontownshiponline.com>?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	none	690	78.9	80.2	80.2
	1-3	140	16.0	16.3	96.5
	4-6	21	2.4	2.4	99.0
	7-9	3	.3	.3	99.3
	10 or more	6	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	860	98.3	100.0	
Missing	System	15	1.7		
Total		875	100.0		

When you think about the official information you receive concerning Township news, meetings, and events, from what sources would you prefer to receive this information? Township newsletter...Madison Township News?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	665	76.0	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	210	24.0		
Total		875	100.0		

When you think about the information you receive concerning Township news, meetings, and events, from what sources would you prefer to receive this information? Township Internet web site...www.madisontownshiponline.com?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	174	19.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	701	80.1		
Total		875	100.0		

When you think about the information you receive concerning Township news, meetings, and events, from what sources would you prefer to receive this information? in local newspapers?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	296	33.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	579	66.2		
Total		875	100.0		

Do you own or rent your home?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	own	840	96.0	98.0	98.0
	rent	17	1.9	2.0	100.0
	Total	857	97.9	100.0	
Missing	System	18	2.1		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: younger than 10 years old?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	60	6.9	61.9	61.9
	2	32	3.7	33.0	94.8
	3	5	.6	5.2	100.0
	Total	97	11.1	100.0	
Missing	System	778	88.9		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: 10 to 17 years old?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	101	11.5	60.8	60.8
	2	51	5.8	30.7	91.6
	3	12	1.4	7.2	98.8
	4	1	.1	.6	99.4
	5	1	.1	.6	100.0
	Total	166	19.0	100.0	
Missing	System	709	81.0		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: 18 to 25 years old?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	68	7.8	67.3	67.3
	2	30	3.4	29.7	97.0
	3	3	.3	3.0	100.0
	Total	101	11.5	100.0	
Missing	System	774	88.5		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: 26 to 35 years old?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	64	7.3	65.3	65.3
	2	32	3.7	32.7	98.0
	3	2	.2	2.0	100.0
	Total	98	11.2	100.0	
Missing	System	777	88.8		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: 36 to 45 years old?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	86	9.8	51.2	51.2
	2	81	9.3	48.2	99.4
	3	1	.1	.6	100.0
	Total	168	19.2	100.0	
Missing	System	707	80.8		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: 46 to 55 years old?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	127	14.5	47.0	47.0
	2	142	16.2	52.6	99.6
	3	1	.1	.4	100.0
	Total	270	30.9	100.0	
Missing	System	605	69.1		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: 56 to 65 years old?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	119	13.6	48.4	48.4
	2	127	14.5	51.6	100.0
	Total	246	28.1	100.0	
Missing	System	629	71.9		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the total number of persons, including yourself, living in your household who fall into the following age categories: 66 years or older?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	154	17.6	50.5	50.5
	2	150	17.1	49.2	99.7
	3	1	.1	.3	100.0
	Total	305	34.9	100.0	
Missing	System	570	65.1		
Total		875	100.0		

What is your gender?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	male	388	44.3	45.3	45.3
	female	468	53.5	54.7	100.0
	Total	856	97.8	100.0	
Missing	System	19	2.2		
Total		875	100.0		

What is your marital status?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	single (never married)	34	3.9	4.0	4.0
	single (divorced)	68	7.8	7.9	11.9
	married	654	74.7	76.3	88.2
	surviving spouse	91	10.4	10.6	98.8
	other	10	1.1	1.2	100.0
	Total	857	97.9	100.0	
Missing	System	18	2.1		
Total		875	100.0		

Please indicate the year in which you were born.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1911	1	.1	.1	.1
	1912	1	.1	.1	.2
	1914	1	.1	.1	.4
	1918	1	.1	.1	.5
	1919	3	.3	.4	.8
	1920	1	.1	.1	1.0
	1921	3	.3	.4	1.3
	1922	5	.6	.6	1.9
	1923	4	.5	.5	2.4
	1924	4	.5	.5	2.9
	1925	3	.3	.4	3.3
	1926	5	.6	.6	3.9
	1927	10	1.1	1.2	5.1
	1928	10	1.1	1.2	6.3
	1929	9	1.0	1.1	7.4
	1930	7	.8	.8	8.3
	1931	12	1.4	1.5	9.7
	1932	12	1.4	1.5	11.2
	1933	11	1.3	1.3	12.5
	1934	13	1.5	1.6	14.1
	1935	16	1.8	1.9	16.0
	1936	13	1.5	1.6	17.6
	1937	10	1.1	1.2	18.8
	1938	13	1.5	1.6	20.4
	1939	16	1.8	1.9	22.3
	1940	15	1.7	1.8	24.2
	1941	17	1.9	2.1	26.2
	1942	15	1.7	1.8	28.0
	1943	26	3.0	3.2	31.2
	1944	12	1.4	1.5	32.6
	1945	20	2.3	2.4	35.1
	1946	23	2.6	2.8	37.9
1947	27	3.1	3.3	41.1	
1948	18	2.1	2.2	43.3	
1949	20	2.3	2.4	45.8	
1950	27	3.1	3.3	49.0	
1951	15	1.7	1.8	50.8	
1952	21	2.4	2.5	53.4	

Please indicate the year in which you were born.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1953	14	1.6	1.7	55.1
	1954	21	2.4	2.5	57.6
	1955	16	1.8	1.9	59.6
	1956	18	2.1	2.2	61.8
	1957	26	3.0	3.2	64.9
	1958	29	3.3	3.5	68.4
	1959	20	2.3	2.4	70.9
	1960	21	2.4	2.5	73.4
	1961	19	2.2	2.3	75.7
	1962	15	1.7	1.8	77.5
	1963	14	1.6	1.7	79.2
	1964	16	1.8	1.9	81.2
	1965	17	1.9	2.1	83.3
	1966	10	1.1	1.2	84.5
	1967	10	1.1	1.2	85.7
	1968	11	1.3	1.3	87.0
	1969	14	1.6	1.7	88.7
	1970	5	.6	.6	89.3
	1971	10	1.1	1.2	90.5
	1972	14	1.6	1.7	92.2
	1973	11	1.3	1.3	93.6
	1974	9	1.0	1.1	94.7
	1975	4	.5	.5	95.1
	1976	4	.5	.5	95.6
1977	5	.6	.6	96.2	
1978	6	.7	.7	97.0	
1979	5	.6	.6	97.6	
1980	4	.5	.5	98.1	
1981	1	.1	.1	98.2	
1982	4	.5	.5	98.7	
1983	3	.3	.4	99.0	
1984	2	.2	.2	99.3	
1985	2	.2	.2	99.5	
1986	1	.1	.1	99.6	
1987	1	.1	.1	99.8	
1988	1	.1	.1	99.9	
1990	1	.1	.1	100.0	
	Total	824	94.2	100.0	
Missing	System	51	5.8		
Total		875	100.0		

If applicable, please indicate the year in which your spouse was born.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1915	1	.1	.2	.2
	1917	1	.1	.2	.3
	1919	1	.1	.2	.5
	1920	2	.2	.3	.8
	1921	3	.3	.5	1.3
	1923	1	.1	.2	1.4

If applicable, please indicate the year in which your spouse was born.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1925	2	.2	.3	1.7
	1926	3	.3	.5	2.2
	1927	7	.8	1.1	3.3
	1928	7	.8	1.1	4.4
	1929	3	.3	.5	4.9
	1930	9	1.0	1.4	6.3
	1931	3	.3	.5	6.8
	1932	3	.3	.5	7.3
	1933	7	.8	1.1	8.4
	1934	8	.9	1.3	9.7
	1935	11	1.3	1.7	11.4
	1936	8	.9	1.3	12.7
	1937	18	2.1	2.8	15.5
	1938	14	1.6	2.2	17.7
	1939	8	.9	1.3	19.0
	1940	10	1.1	1.6	20.6
	1941	14	1.6	2.2	22.8
	1942	15	1.7	2.4	25.2
	1943	21	2.4	3.3	28.5
	1944	9	1.0	1.4	29.9
	1945	10	1.1	1.6	31.5
	1946	17	1.9	2.7	34.2
	1947	23	2.6	3.6	37.8
	1948	9	1.0	1.4	39.2
	1949	17	1.9	2.7	41.9
	1950	10	1.1	1.6	43.5
	1951	11	1.3	1.7	45.3
	1952	20	2.3	3.2	48.4
	1953	16	1.8	2.5	50.9
	1954	19	2.2	3.0	54.0
	1955	10	1.1	1.6	55.5
	1956	22	2.5	3.5	59.0
	1957	25	2.9	4.0	63.0
	1958	18	2.1	2.8	65.8
	1959	16	1.8	2.5	68.4
1960	15	1.7	2.4	70.7	
1961	16	1.8	2.5	73.3	
1962	15	1.7	2.4	75.6	
1963	14	1.6	2.2	77.8	
1964	7	.8	1.1	79.0	
1965	9	1.0	1.4	80.4	
1966	12	1.4	1.9	82.3	
1967	9	1.0	1.4	83.7	
1968	13	1.5	2.1	85.8	
1969	13	1.5	2.1	87.8	
1970	12	1.4	1.9	89.7	
1971	11	1.3	1.7	91.5	
1972	11	1.3	1.7	93.2	
1973	4	.5	.6	93.8	
1974	10	1.1	1.6	95.4	
1975	1	.1	.2	95.6	

If applicable, please indicate the year in which your spouse was born.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1976	2	.2	.3	95.9
	1977	7	.8	1.1	97.0
	1978	4	.5	.6	97.6
	1979	2	.2	.3	97.9
	1980	5	.6	.8	98.7
	1982	1	.1	.2	98.9
	1983	2	.2	.3	99.2
	1984	2	.2	.3	99.5
	1985	3	.3	.5	100.0
	Total	632	72.2	100.0	
Missing	System	243	27.8		
Total		875	100.0		

How long have you lived in Madison Township? By Categories

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	less than 5 years	77	8.8	9.0	9.0
	5 to 10 years	111	12.7	13.0	22.0
	11 to 15 years	81	9.3	9.5	31.5
	16 to 20 years	87	9.9	10.2	41.7
	more than 20 years	497	56.8	58.3	100.0
Total		853	97.5	100.0	
Missing	System	22	2.5		
Total		875	100.0		

What is the total number of children under 17 years of age in the household?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	107	12.2	47.8	47.8
	2.00	83	9.5	37.1	84.8
	3.00	24	2.7	10.7	95.5
	4.00	8	.9	3.6	99.1
	5.00	2	.2	.9	100.0
	Total		224	25.6	100.0
Missing	System	651	74.4		
Total		875	100.0		

Does the household contain children under 17 years of age?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	224	25.6	25.6	25.6
	No	651	74.4	74.4	100.0
Total		875	100.0	100.0	

What is the age of the respondent?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	19.00	1	.1	.1	.1
	21.00	1	.1	.1	.2
	22.00	1	.1	.1	.4
	23.00	1	.1	.1	.5
	24.00	2	.2	.2	.7
	25.00	2	.2	.2	1.0
	26.00	3	.3	.4	1.3
	27.00	4	.5	.5	1.8
	28.00	1	.1	.1	1.9
	29.00	4	.5	.5	2.4
	30.00	5	.6	.6	3.0
	31.00	6	.7	.7	3.8
	32.00	5	.6	.6	4.4
	33.00	4	.5	.5	4.9
	34.00	4	.5	.5	5.3
	35.00	9	1.0	1.1	6.4
	36.00	11	1.3	1.3	7.8
	37.00	14	1.6	1.7	9.5
	38.00	10	1.1	1.2	10.7
	39.00	5	.6	.6	11.3
	40.00	14	1.6	1.7	13.0
	41.00	11	1.3	1.3	14.3
	42.00	10	1.1	1.2	15.5
	43.00	10	1.1	1.2	16.7
	44.00	17	1.9	2.1	18.8
	45.00	16	1.8	1.9	20.8
	46.00	14	1.6	1.7	22.5
	47.00	15	1.7	1.8	24.3
	48.00	19	2.2	2.3	26.6
	49.00	21	2.4	2.5	29.1
	50.00	20	2.3	2.4	31.6
	51.00	29	3.3	3.5	35.1
	52.00	26	3.0	3.2	38.2
	53.00	18	2.1	2.2	40.4
	54.00	16	1.8	1.9	42.4
	55.00	21	2.4	2.5	44.9
	56.00	14	1.6	1.7	46.6
	57.00	21	2.4	2.5	49.2
	58.00	15	1.7	1.8	51.0
	59.00	27	3.1	3.3	54.2
60.00	20	2.3	2.4	56.7	
61.00	18	2.1	2.2	58.9	
62.00	27	3.1	3.3	62.1	
63.00	23	2.6	2.8	64.9	
64.00	20	2.3	2.4	67.4	
65.00	12	1.4	1.5	68.8	
66.00	26	3.0	3.2	72.0	
67.00	15	1.7	1.8	73.8	
68.00	17	1.9	2.1	75.8	
69.00	15	1.7	1.8	77.7	
70.00	16	1.8	1.9	79.6	

What is the age of the respondent?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	71.00	13	1.5	1.6	81.2
	72.00	10	1.1	1.2	82.4
	73.00	13	1.5	1.6	84.0
	74.00	16	1.8	1.9	85.9
	75.00	13	1.5	1.6	87.5
	76.00	11	1.3	1.3	88.8
	77.00	12	1.4	1.5	90.3
	78.00	12	1.4	1.5	91.7
	79.00	7	.8	.8	92.6
	80.00	9	1.0	1.1	93.7
	81.00	10	1.1	1.2	94.9
	82.00	10	1.1	1.2	96.1
	83.00	5	.6	.6	96.7
	84.00	3	.3	.4	97.1
	85.00	4	.5	.5	97.6
	86.00	4	.5	.5	98.1
	87.00	5	.6	.6	98.7
	88.00	3	.3	.4	99.0
	89.00	1	.1	.1	99.2
	90.00	3	.3	.4	99.5
91.00	1	.1	.1	99.6	
95.00	1	.1	.1	99.8	
97.00	1	.1	.1	99.9	
98.00	1	.1	.1	100.0	
	Total	824	94.2	100.0	
Missing	System	51	5.8		
Total		875	100.0		

What is the age of the respondent's spouse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	24.00	3	.3	.5	.5
	25.00	2	.2	.3	.8
	26.00	2	.2	.3	1.1
	27.00	1	.1	.2	1.3
	29.00	5	.6	.8	2.1
	30.00	2	.2	.3	2.4
	31.00	4	.5	.6	3.0
	32.00	7	.8	1.1	4.1
	33.00	2	.2	.3	4.4
	34.00	1	.1	.2	4.6
	35.00	10	1.1	1.6	6.2
	36.00	4	.5	.6	6.8
	37.00	11	1.3	1.7	8.5
	38.00	11	1.3	1.7	10.3
	39.00	12	1.4	1.9	12.2
	40.00	13	1.5	2.1	14.2
	41.00	13	1.5	2.1	16.3
	42.00	9	1.0	1.4	17.7
	43.00	12	1.4	1.9	19.6

What is the age of the respondent's spouse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	44.00	9	1.0	1.4	21.0
	45.00	7	.8	1.1	22.2
	46.00	14	1.6	2.2	24.4
	47.00	15	1.7	2.4	26.7
	48.00	16	1.8	2.5	29.3
	49.00	15	1.7	2.4	31.6
	50.00	16	1.8	2.5	34.2
	51.00	17	1.9	2.7	36.9
	52.00	25	2.9	4.0	40.8
	53.00	23	2.6	3.6	44.5
	54.00	10	1.1	1.6	46.0
	55.00	19	2.2	3.0	49.1
	56.00	16	1.8	2.5	51.6
	57.00	20	2.3	3.2	54.7
	58.00	11	1.3	1.7	56.5
	59.00	10	1.1	1.6	58.1
	60.00	17	1.9	2.7	60.8
	61.00	9	1.0	1.4	62.2
	62.00	23	2.6	3.6	65.8
	63.00	17	1.9	2.7	68.5
	64.00	10	1.1	1.6	70.1
	65.00	9	1.0	1.4	71.5
	66.00	21	2.4	3.3	74.8
	67.00	15	1.7	2.4	77.2
	68.00	14	1.6	2.2	79.4
	69.00	10	1.1	1.6	81.0
	70.00	8	.9	1.3	82.3
	71.00	14	1.6	2.2	84.5
	72.00	18	2.1	2.8	87.3
	73.00	8	.9	1.3	88.6
	74.00	11	1.3	1.7	90.3
	75.00	8	.9	1.3	91.6
	76.00	7	.8	1.1	92.7
	77.00	3	.3	.5	93.2
	78.00	3	.3	.5	93.7
	79.00	9	1.0	1.4	95.1
	80.00	3	.3	.5	95.6
	81.00	7	.8	1.1	96.7
	82.00	7	.8	1.1	97.8
	83.00	3	.3	.5	98.3
84.00	2	.2	.3	98.6	
86.00	1	.1	.2	98.7	
88.00	3	.3	.5	99.2	
89.00	2	.2	.3	99.5	
90.00	1	.1	.2	99.7	
92.00	1	.1	.2	99.8	
94.00	1	.1	.2	100.0	
	Total	632	72.2	100.0	
Missing	System	243	27.8		
Total		875	100.0		

Age of Respondent? By Age Categories

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-25 Years of Age	128	14.6	23.2	23.2
	26-35 Years of Age	124	14.2	22.5	45.7
	36-45 Years of Age	148	16.9	26.8	72.5
	46-55 Years of Age	106	12.1	19.2	91.7
	56-65 Years of Age	27	3.1	4.9	96.6
	66 Years of Age and Older	19	2.2	3.4	100.0
	Total	552	63.1	100.0	
Missing	System	323	36.9		
Total		875	100.0		

APPENDIX C – CENSUS 2000 SUMMARY FILE (SF-1)



DP-1. Profile of General Demographic Characteristics: 2000

Data Set: [Census 2000 Summary File 4 \(SF 4\) - Sample Data](#)

Geographic Area: **Madison township, Butler County, Ohio**

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datnotes/expsf4.htm>.

Subject	Number	Percent
Total population	8,647	100.0
SEX AND AGE		
Male	4,351	50.3
Female	4,296	49.7
Under 5 years	462	5.3
5 to 9 years	654	7.6
10 to 14 years	738	8.5
15 to 19 years	609	7.0
20 to 24 years	333	3.9
25 to 34 years	993	11.5
35 to 44 years	1,491	17.2
45 to 54 years	1,357	15.7
55 to 59 years	497	5.7
60 to 64 years	469	5.4
65 to 74 years	674	7.8
75 to 84 years	300	3.5
85 years and over	70	0.8
Median age (years)	38.6	(X)
18 years and over	6,365	73.6
Male	3,182	36.8
Female	3,183	36.8
21 years and over	6,134	70.9
62 years and over	1,364	15.8
65 years and over	1,044	12.1
Male	526	6.1
Female	518	6.0
RELATIONSHIP		
Population	8,647	100.0
In households	8,647	100.0
Householder	3,150	36.4
Spouse	2,197	25.4
Child	2,644	30.6
Own child under 18 years	2,055	23.8
Other relatives	372	4.3
Under 18 years	161	1.9
Nonrelatives	284	3.3
Unmarried partner	151	1.7
In group quarters	0	0.0
Institutionalized population	0	0.0
Noninstitutionalized population	0	0.0
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE		
Households	3,150	100.0
Family households (families)	2,593	82.3
With own children under 18 years	1,175	37.3
Married-couple family	2,207	70.1
With own children under 18 years	962	30.5
Female householder, no husband present	223	7.1
With own children under 18 years	126	4.0
Nonfamily households	557	17.7
Householder living alone	448	14.2
Householder 65 years and over	179	5.7
Households with individuals under 18 years	1,276	40.5
Households with individuals 65 years and over	1,044	33.1
Average household size	2.75	(X)
Average family size	3.01	(X)
HOUSING TENURE		
Occupied housing units	3,151	100.0
Owner-occupied housing units	2,871	91.1

Subject	Number	Percent
Renter-occupied housing units	280	8.9
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	2.75	(X)
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	2.71	(X)

(X) Not applicable.

When an ancestry group is selected, the data in this table refer to the ancestry of the person or householder.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 4, Matrices PCT1, PCT3, PCT4, PCT8, PCT9, PCT10, PCT11, PCT12, PCT14, PCT15, PCT23, PCT26, HCT2, and HCT7.

DP-2. Profile of Selected Social Characteristics: 2000

Data Set: [Census 2000 Summary File 4 \(SF 4\) - Sample Data](#)

Geographic Area: **Madison township, Butler County, Ohio**

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf4.htm>.

Subject	Number	Percent
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	2,173	100.0
Nursery school, preschool	134	6.2
Kindergarten	140	6.4
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	1,140	52.5
High school (grades 9-12)	566	26.0
College or graduate school	193	8.9
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		
Population 25 years and over	5,851	100.0
Less than 9th grade	349	6.0
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	754	12.9
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	2,727	46.6
Some college, no degree	1,101	18.8
Associate degree	247	4.2
Bachelor's degree	439	7.5
Graduate or professional degree	234	4.0
Percent high school graduate or higher	81.1	(X)
Percent bachelor's degree or higher	11.5	(X)
MARITAL STATUS		
Population 15 years and over	6,793	100.0
Never married	1,190	17.5
Now married, except separated	4,560	67.1
Separated	110	1.6
Widowed	330	4.9
Female	248	3.7
Divorced	603	8.9
Female	304	4.5
GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS		
Grandparent living in household with one or more own grandchildren under 18 years	225	100.0
Grandparent responsible for grandchildren	70	31.1
VETERAN STATUS		
Civilian population 18 years and over	6,365	100.0
Civilian veterans	1,018	16.0
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		
Population 5 to 20 years	2,051	100.0
With a disability	173	8.4
Population 21 to 64 years	5,090	100.0
With a disability	1,041	20.5
Percent employed	59.2	(X)
No disability	4,049	79.5
Percent employed	78.2	(X)
Population 65 years and over	1,044	100.0
With a disability	467	44.7
RESIDENCE IN 1995		
Population 5 years and over	8,185	100.0
Same house in 1995	5,257	64.2
Different house in the U.S. in 1995	2,891	35.3

Subject	Number	Percent
Same county	2,098	25.6
Different county	793	9.7
Same state	627	7.7
Different state	166	2.0
Elsewhere in 1995	37	0.5
NATIVITY AND PLACE OF BIRTH		
Total population	8,647	100.0
Native	8,573	99.1
Born in United States	8,531	98.7
State of residence	6,572	76.0
Different state	1,959	22.7
Born outside United States	42	0.5
Foreign born	74	0.9
Entered 1990 to March 2000	27	0.3
Naturalized citizen	47	0.5
Not a citizen	27	0.3
REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
Total (excluding born at sea)	74	100.0
Europe	32	43.2
Asia	14	18.9
Africa	0	0.0
Oceania	0	0.0
Latin America	28	37.8
Northern America	0	0.0
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME		
Population 5 years and over	8,185	100.0
English only	7,970	97.4
Language other than English	215	2.6
Speak English less than "very well"	56	0.7
Spanish	121	1.5
Speak English less than "very well"	38	0.5
Other Indo-European languages	71	0.9
Speak English less than "very well"	18	0.2
Asian and Pacific Island languages	18	0.2
Speak English less than "very well"	0	0.0

(X) Not applicable.

[Place of Birth Code List \(PDF 74KB\)](#)

[Language Code List \(PDF 17KB\)](#)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 4, Matrices PCT35, PCT36, PCT38, PCT43, PCT45, PCT47, PCT49, PCT61, PCT64, PCT67, and PCT70.

DP-3. Profile of Selected Economic Characteristics: 2000

Data Set: [Census 2000 Summary File 4 \(SF 4\) - Sample Data](#)

Geographic Area: **Madison township, Butler County, Ohio**

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf4.htm>.

Subject	Number	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Population 16 years and over	6,661	100.0
In labor force	4,297	64.5
Civilian labor force	4,297	64.5
Employed	4,192	62.9
Unemployed	105	1.6
Percent of civilian labor force	2.4	(X)
Armed Forces	0	0.0
Not in labor force	2,364	35.5
Females 16 years and over	3,318	100.0
In labor force	1,971	59.4
Civilian labor force	1,971	59.4
Employed	1,929	58.1
Own children under 6 years	556	100.0
All parents in family in labor force	321	57.7
COMMUTING TO WORK		
Workers 16 years and over	4,124	100.0
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	3,595	87.2
Car, truck, or van -- carpooled	398	9.7
Public transportation (including taxicab)	0	0.0

Subject	Number	Percent
Walked	19	0.5
Other means	6	0.1
Worked at home	106	2.6
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	24.1	(X)
Employed civilian population 16 years and over	4,192	100.0
OCCUPATION		
Management, professional, and related occupations	908	21.7
Service occupations	599	14.3
Sales and office occupations	1,130	27.0
Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	5	0.1
Construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	619	14.8
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	931	22.2
INDUSTRY		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	21	0.5
Construction	356	8.5
Manufacturing	1,223	29.2
Wholesale trade	123	2.9
Retail trade	497	11.9
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	262	6.3
Information	33	0.8
Finance, insurance, real estate, and rental and leasing	218	5.2
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services	209	5.0
Educational, health and social services	715	17.1
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	232	5.5
Other services (except public administration)	169	4.0
Public administration	134	3.2
CLASS OF WORKER		
Private wage and salary workers	3,517	83.9
Government workers	433	10.3
Self-employed workers in own not incorporated business	221	5.3
Unpaid family workers	21	0.5
INCOME IN 1999		
Households	3,150	100.0
Less than \$10,000	163	5.2
\$10,000 to \$14,999	127	4.0
\$15,000 to \$24,999	365	11.6
\$25,000 to \$34,999	280	8.9
\$35,000 to \$49,999	533	16.9
\$50,000 to \$74,999	868	27.6
\$75,000 to \$99,999	472	15.0
\$100,000 to \$149,999	261	8.3
\$150,000 to \$199,999	41	1.3
\$200,000 or more	40	1.3
Median household income (dollars)	52,432	(X)
With earnings	2,613	83.0
Mean earnings (dollars)	58,875	(X)
With Social Security income	922	29.3
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	11,910	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	87	2.8
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	4,743	(X)
With public assistance income	33	1.0
Mean public assistance income (dollars)	1,939	(X)
With retirement income	802	25.5
Mean retirement income (dollars)	21,658	(X)
Families	2,593	100.0
Less than \$10,000	84	3.2
\$10,000 to \$14,999	74	2.9
\$15,000 to \$24,999	252	9.7
\$25,000 to \$34,999	206	7.9
\$35,000 to \$49,999	444	17.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	804	31.0
\$75,000 to \$99,999	411	15.9
\$100,000 to \$149,999	247	9.5
\$150,000 to \$199,999	36	1.4
\$200,000 or more	35	1.3
Median family income (dollars)	56,862	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	22,264	(X)
Median earnings (dollars):		
Male full-time, year-round workers	41,630	(X)
Female full-time, year-round workers	25,769	(X)
POVERTY STATUS IN 1999 (below poverty level)		
Families	127	(X)

Subject	Number	Percent
Percent below poverty level	(X)	4.9
With related children under 18 years	96	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	7.6
With related children under 5 years	40	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	10.8
Families with female householder, no husband present	51	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	22.9
With related children under 18 years	45	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	27.6
With related children under 5 years	20	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	62.5
Individuals	548	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	6.4
18 years and over	341	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	5.4
65 years and over	79	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	7.6
Related children under 18 years	199	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	9.0
Related children 5 to 17 years	153	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	8.7
Unrelated individuals 15 years and over	126	(X)
Percent below poverty level	(X)	16.0

(X) Not applicable.

[Detailed Occupation Code List \(PDF 42KB\)](#)

[Detailed Industry Code List \(PDF 44KB\)](#)

[User note on employment status data \(PDF 63KB\)](#)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 4, Matrices PCT55, PCT57, PCT58, PCT79, PCT81, PCT85, PCT86, PCT87, PCT88, PCT89, PCT94, PCT98, PCT99, PCT100, PCT101, PCT103, PCT107, PCT108, PCT109, PCT110, PCT112, PCT113, PCT130, PCT139, PCT142, PCT150, PCT151, and PCT157.

DP-4. Profile of Selected Housing Characteristics: 2000

Data Set: [Census 2000 Summary File 4 \(SF 4\) - Sample Data](#)

Geographic Area: **Madison township, Butler County, Ohio**

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf4.htm>.

Subject	Number	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	3,151	100.0
UNITS IN STRUCTURE		
1-unit, detached	2,664	84.5
1-unit, attached	19	0.6
2 units	11	0.3
3 or 4 units	36	1.1
5 to 9 units	17	0.5
10 to 19 units	5	0.2
20 or more units	8	0.3
Mobile home	391	12.4
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT		
1999 to March 2000	39	1.2
1995 to 1998	200	6.3
1990 to 1994	204	6.5
1980 to 1989	301	9.6
1970 to 1979	685	21.7
1960 to 1969	601	19.1
1940 to 1959	751	23.8
1939 or earlier	370	11.7
ROOMS		
1 room	13	0.4
2 rooms	6	0.2
3 rooms	63	2.0
4 rooms	334	10.6
5 rooms	718	22.8
6 rooms	800	25.4
7 rooms	512	16.2
8 rooms	412	13.1
9 or more rooms	293	9.3
Median (rooms)	6.1	(X)

Subject	Number	Percent	
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT			
1999 to March 2000	320	10.2	
1995 to 1998	795	25.2	
1990 to 1994	512	16.2	
1980 to 1989	597	18.9	
1970 to 1979	415	13.2	
1969 or earlier	512	16.2	
VEHICLES AVAILABLE			
None	74	2.3	
1	751	23.8	
2	1,355	43.0	
3 or more	971	30.8	
HOUSE HEATING FUEL			
Utility gas	476	15.1	
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	447	14.2	
Electricity	794	25.2	
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	1,353	42.9	
Coal or coke	0	0.0	
Wood	53	1.7	
Solar energy	0	0.0	
Other fuel	15	0.5	
No fuel used	13	0.4	
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS			
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	11	0.3	
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	0	0.0	
No telephone service	74	2.3	
OCCUPANTS PER ROOM			
Occupied housing units		3,151	100.0
1.00 or less	3,094	98.2	
1.01 to 1.50	24	0.8	
1.51 or more	33	1.0	
Specified owner-occupied units		2,093	100.0
VALUE			
Less than \$50,000	48	2.3	
\$50,000 to \$99,999	531	25.4	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	969	46.3	
\$150,000 to \$199,999	331	15.8	
\$200,000 to \$299,999	167	8.0	
\$300,000 to \$499,999	29	1.4	
\$500,000 to \$999,999	11	0.5	
\$1,000,000 or more	7	0.3	
Median (dollars)	120,500	(X)	
MORTGAGE STATUS AND SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS			
With a mortgage	1,419	67.8	
Less than \$300	13	0.6	
\$300 to \$499	89	4.3	
\$500 to \$699	172	8.2	
\$700 to \$999	337	16.1	
\$1,000 to \$1,499	546	26.1	
\$1,500 to \$1,999	171	8.2	
\$2,000 or more	91	4.3	
Median (dollars)	1,068	(X)	
Not mortgaged	674	32.2	
Median (dollars)	290	(X)	
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN 1999			
Less than 15 percent	891	42.6	
15 to 19 percent	388	18.5	
20 to 24 percent	259	12.4	
25 to 29 percent	138	6.6	
30 to 34 percent	134	6.4	
35 percent or more	269	12.9	
Not computed	14	0.7	
Specified renter-occupied units		253	100.0
GROSS RENT			
Less than \$200	0	0.0	
\$200 to \$299	11	4.3	
\$300 to \$499	79	31.2	
\$500 to \$749	117	46.2	
\$750 to \$999	12	4.7	
\$1,000 to \$1,499	0	0.0	
\$1,500 or more	0	0.0	
No cash rent	34	13.4	

Subject	Number	Percent
Median (dollars)	520	(X)
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN 1999		
Less than 15 percent	88	34.8
15 to 19 percent	26	10.3
20 to 24 percent	47	18.6
25 to 29 percent	22	8.7
30 to 34 percent	12	4.7
35 percent or more	24	9.5
Not computed	34	13.4

(X) Not applicable.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 4, Matrices HCT2, HCT11, HCT14, HCT15, HCT17, HCT21, HCT24, HCT29, HCT31, HCT32, HCT41, HCT43, HCT51, HCT52, HCT59, HCT64, HCT66, HCT75, HCT76, and HCT79.

**APPENDIX D – OHIO COUNTY PROFILES, BUTLER COUNTY,
JANUARY 2009**

Ohio County Profiles




Department of
Development

Prepared by the Office of Policy, Research and Strategic Planning

Butler County

Established: Act - May 1, 1803
2007 Population: 357,888
Land Area: 467.3 square mile
County Seat: Hamilton City
Named for: General Richard Butler, Revolutionary War



Taxes

Taxable value of real property	\$7,120,045,350
Residential	\$5,443,391,690
Agriculture	\$150,540,790
Industrial	\$483,937,920
Commercial	\$1,042,174,950
Mineral	\$0
Ohio income tax liability	\$285,903,973
Average per return	\$1,786.32

Land Use/Land Cover

	Percent
Urban (Residential/Commercial/Industrial/ Transportation and Urban Grasses)	12.77%
Cropland	51.45%
Pasture	11.30%
Forest	23.24%
Open Water	0.88%
Wetlands (Wooded/Herbaceous)	0.00%
Bare/Mines	0.36%


Largest Areas

	Census 2000	Est. 2007
Hamilton city	60,690	62,285
West Chester twp UB	52,671	55,331
Middletown city (pt.)	49,574	48,960
Fairfield city (pt.)	42,097	42,293
Liberty twp UB	22,005	25,896
Oxford city	21,943	22,210
Fairfield twp	15,571	17,171
Monroe city (pt.)	7,086	11,357
Trenton city	8,746	10,782
Madison twp	8,611	10,025

UB: Unincorporated Balance

Total Population

Census		Estimated	
1800		1900	56,870
1810	11,150	1910	70,271
1820	21,746	1920	87,025
1830	27,142	1930	114,084
1840	28,173	1940	120,249
1850	30,789	1950	147,203
1860	35,840	1960	199,076
1870	39,912	1970	226,207
1880	42,579	1980	258,787
1890	48,597	1990	291,479
		2000	332,807
		2001	336,629
		2002	339,071
		2003	341,909
		2004	345,119
		2005	348,655
		2006	353,386
		2007	357,888
		Projected	
		2010	367,670
		2020	403,860
		2030	439,740



Population by Race	Number	Percent
Total Population	332,807	100.0%
White	302,565	90.9%
African-American	17,924	5.4%
Native American	838	0.3%
Asian	5,077	1.5%
Pacific Islander	62	0.0%
Other	2,245	0.7%
Two or More Races	4,096	1.2%
Hispanic (may be of any race)	4,312	1.3%
Total Minority	32,178	9.7%

Educational Attainment	Number	Percent
Persons 25 years and over	207,213	100.0%
No high school diploma	34,702	16.7%
High school graduate	69,581	33.6%
Some college, no degree	41,525	20.0%
Associate degree	12,746	6.2%
Bachelor's degree	31,945	15.4%
Master's degree or higher	16,714	8.1%

Family Type by Employment Status	Number	Percent
Total Families	88,555	100.0%
Married couple, husband and wife in labor force	40,274	45.5%
Married couple, husband in labor force, wife not	16,131	18.2%
Married couple, wife in labor force, husband not	3,934	4.4%
Married couple, husband and wife not in labor force	11,155	12.6%
Male householder, in labor force	3,616	4.1%
Male householder, not in labor force	973	1.1%
Female householder, in labor force	8,511	9.6%
Female householder, not in labor force	3,961	4.5%

Household Income in 1999	Number	Percent
Total Households	123,125	100.0%
Less than \$10,000	8,468	6.9%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	12,562	10.2%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	13,948	11.3%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	15,368	12.5%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	13,608	11.1%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	11,978	9.7%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	15,303	12.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	15,946	13.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	11,633	9.4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	2,253	1.8%
\$200,000 or more	2,058	1.7%
Median household income	\$47,885	

Population by Age	Number	Percent
Total Population	332,807	100.0%
Under 6 years	27,809	8.4%
6 to 17 years	58,435	17.6%
18 to 24 years	39,350	11.8%
25 to 44 years	99,695	30.0%
45 to 64 years	72,050	21.6%
65 years and more	35,468	10.7%
Median Age	34.2	

Family Type by Presence of Own Children Under 18	Number	Percent
Total Families	88,555	100.0%
Married-couple families with own children	34,314	38.7%
Male householder, no wife present, with own children	2,609	2.9%
Female householder, no husband present, with own children	7,917	8.9%
Families with no own children	43,715	49.4%

Poverty Status in 1999 of Families By Family Type by Presence Of Related Children	Number	Percent
Total Families	88,555	100.0%
Family income above poverty level	83,745	94.6%
Family income below poverty level	4,810	5.4%
Married couple, with related children	1,050	21.8%
Male householder, no wife present, with related children	279	5.8%
Female householder, no husband present, with related children	2,463	51.2%
Families with no related children	1,018	21.2%

Ratio of Income in 1999 To Poverty Level	Number	Percent
Population for whom poverty status is determined	321,387	100.0%
Below 50% of poverty level	13,281	4.1%
50% to 99% of poverty level	14,665	4.6%
100% to 149% of poverty level	18,390	5.7%
150% to 199% of poverty level	21,938	6.8%
200% of poverty level or more	253,113	78.8%

Residence in 1995	Number	Percent
Population 5 years and over	309,892	100.0%
Same house in 1995	158,084	51.0%
Different house, same county	80,420	26.0%
Different county, same state	41,600	13.4%
Different state	26,455	8.5%
Puerto Rico or U.S. islands	60	0.0%
Foreign country	3,273	1.1%

Travel Time To Work

	Number	Percent
Workers 16 years and over	160,314	100.0%
Less than 15 minutes	46,269	28.9%
15 to 29 minutes	61,823	38.6%
30 to 44 minutes	31,475	19.6%
45 to 59 minutes	10,903	6.8%
60 minutes or more	5,838	3.6%
Worked at home	4,006	2.5%

Mean travel time 23.0 minutes

Housing Units

	Number	Percent
Total housing units	129,793	100.0%
Occupied housing units	123,082	94.8%
Owner occupied	88,121	67.9%
Renter occupied	34,961	26.9%
Vacant housing units	6,711	5.2%

Year Structure Built

	Number	Percent
Total housing units	129,793	100.0%
Built 1995 to March 2000	14,677	11.3%
Built 1990 to 1994	12,505	9.6%
Built 1980 to 1989	18,535	14.3%
Built 1970 to 1979	24,544	18.9%
Built 1960 to 1969	15,685	12.1%
Built 1950 to 1959	17,622	13.6%
Built 1940 to 1949	9,089	7.0%
Built 1939 or earlier	17,136	13.2%

Median year built 1972

Value for Specified Owner-Occupied Housing Units

	Number	Percent
Specified owner-occupied housing units	77,591	100.0%
Less than \$20,000	219	0.3%
\$20,000 to \$39,999	1,278	1.6%
\$40,000 to \$59,999	4,096	5.3%
\$60,000 to \$79,999	8,973	11.6%
\$80,000 to \$99,999	12,323	15.9%
\$100,000 to \$124,999	12,821	16.5%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	13,285	17.1%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	14,905	19.2%
\$200,000 to \$249,999	4,989	6.4%
\$250,000 to \$499,999	4,338	5.6%
\$500,000 to \$999,999	326	0.4%
\$1,000,000 or more	38	0.0%

Median value \$123,200

House Heating Fuel

	Number	Percent
Occupied housing units	123,082	100.0%
Utility gas	68,701	55.8%
Bottled, tank or LP gas	4,753	3.9%
Electricity	37,688	30.6%
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc	10,490	8.5%
Coal, coke or wood	566	0.5%
Solar energy or other fuel	542	0.4%
No fuel used	342	0.3%

Gross Rent

	Number	Percent
Specified renter-occupied housing units	34,611	100.0%
Less than \$100	413	1.2%
\$100 to \$199	1,182	3.4%
\$200 to \$299	1,156	3.3%
\$300 to \$399	2,873	8.3%
\$400 to \$499	6,043	17.5%
\$500 to \$599	7,360	21.3%
\$600 to \$699	5,864	16.9%
\$700 to \$799	3,531	10.2%
\$800 to \$899	2,079	6.0%
\$900 to \$999	1,011	2.9%
\$1,000 to \$1,499	1,512	4.4%
\$1,500 or more	256	0.7%
No cash rent	1,331	3.8%

Median gross rent \$569

Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999 24.0

Selected Monthly Owner Costs for Specified Owner-Occupied Housing Units

	Number	Percent
Specified owner-occupied housing units with a mortgage	59,136	100.0%
Less than \$400	1,119	1.9%
\$400 to \$599	4,409	7.5%
\$600 to \$799	8,756	14.8%
\$800 to \$999	11,010	18.6%
\$1,000 to \$1,249	12,302	20.8%
\$1,250 to \$1,499	9,119	15.4%
\$1,500 to \$1,999	8,613	14.6%
\$2,000 to \$2,999	3,295	5.6%
\$3,000 or more	513	0.9%


Median monthly owners cost \$1,087

Median monthly owners cost as a percentage of household income 20.4

Vital Statistics

	Number	Rate
Births / rate per 1,000 women	5,125	65.1
Teen births / rate per 1,000 females 15-17	168	22.4
Deaths / rate per 100,000 population	2,764	778.6
Marriages / rate per 1,000 population	2,055	5.9
Divorces / rate per 1,000 population	1,233	3.5

Migration



Agriculture

Land in farms (acres)	136,000
Number of farms	1,030
Average size (acres)	132
Total cash receipts	\$35,766,000
Per farm	\$34,725

Education

Public schools	94
Students (Average Daily Membership)	60,306
Expenditures per student	\$8,770
Student-teacher ratio	19.6
Graduation rate	88.6
Teachers (Full Time Equivalent)	3,275.6
Non-public schools	16
Students	3,705
4-year public universities	1
Branches	2
2-year public colleges	0
Private universities and colleges	0
Public libraries (Main / Branches)	2 / 5

Transportation

Registered motor vehicles	360,730
Passenger cars	254,338
Noncommercial trucks	49,452
Total license revenue	\$9,124,954.54
Interstate highway miles	11.25
Turnpike miles	0.00
U.S. highway miles	46.45
State highway miles	178.54
County, township, and municipal road miles	1,766.49
Commercial airports	3

Voting

Number of precincts	297
Number of registered voters	236,969
Voted in 2006 election	119,384
Percent turnout	50.4%

Health Care

Physicians (MDs & DOs)	457
Registered hospitals	5
Number of beds	627
Licensed nursing homes	48
Number of beds	4,430
Licensed residential care	40
Number of beds	3,386
Adults with employer-based insurance	69.0%
Children with employer-based insurance	71.6%

State Parks, Forests, Nature Preserves, And Wildlife Areas

Facilities	2
Acreage	1,182.88

Communications

Television stations	0
Radio stations	6
Daily newspapers	2
Circulation	51,000

Crime

Total crimes reported in Uniform Crime Report	16,213
---	--------

Finance

FDIC insured financial institutions (HQs)	4
Assets	\$4,344,434
Branch offices	110
Institutions represented	18


Transfer Payments

Total transfer payments	\$1,743,436,000
Payments to individuals	\$1,648,288,000
Retirement and disability	\$724,607,000
Medical payments	\$709,470,000
Income maintenance (Supplemental SSI, family assistance, food stamps, etc)	\$139,334,000
Unemployment benefits	\$31,790,000
Veterans benefits	\$29,442,000
Federal education and training assistance	\$12,676,000
Other payments to individuals	\$969,000
Total personal income	\$11,707,628,000
Dependency ratio	14.9%

Federal Expenditures

Direct expenditures or obligations	\$2,083,712,299
Retirement and disability	\$749,525,145
Other direct payments	\$354,194,434
Grant awards	\$299,538,728
Highway planning and construction	\$24,540,805
Temporary assistance to needy families	\$21,339,727
Medical assistance program	\$168,048,769
Procurement contract awards	\$634,650,091
Dept. of Defense	\$622,105,161
Salary and wages	\$45,803,901
Dept. of Defense	\$5,395,000
Other federal assistance	\$322,841,872
Direct loans	\$35,488,009
Guaranteed loans	\$154,277,806
Insurance	\$133,076,057

Per Capita Personal Income



Civilian Labor Force

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Civilian labor force	180,600	183,300	186,000	189,400	190,800
Employed	170,900	173,500	176,200	178,900	181,000
Unemployed	9,700	9,900	9,800	10,600	9,700
Unemployment rate	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.6	5.1

Establishments, Employment, and Wages by Sector: 2006

Industrial Sector	Number of Establishments	Average Employment	Total Wages	Average Weekly Wage
Private Sector	6,961	124,592	\$4,564,515,994	\$704
Goods-Producing	1,334	30,346	\$1,463,142,303	\$927
Natural Resources and Mining	20	275	\$11,646,366	\$814
Constuction	825	10,132	\$428,076,126	\$812
Manufacturing	489	19,940	\$1,023,419,811	\$987
Service-Providing	5,627	94,246	\$3,101,373,691	\$632
Trade, Transportation and Utilities	1,802	34,127	\$1,220,096,536	\$687
Information	78	1,068	\$42,048,499	\$757
Financial Services	706	10,648	\$547,535,735	\$988
Professional and Business Services	1,088	14,107	\$469,367,494	\$639
Education and Health Services	667	16,929	\$562,837,472	\$639
Leisure and Hospitality	648	13,681	\$166,278,373	\$233
Other Services	608	3,619	\$91,602,787	\$486
Unclassified	31	68	\$1,606,795	\$454
Federal Government		585	\$31,429,437	\$1,033
State Government		* * * * *	Data Not Available	* * * * *
Local Government		* * * * *	Data Not Available	* * * * *

Change Since 2001

Private Sector	12.8%	15.6%	31.5%	13.7%
Goods-Producing	5.3%	5.4%	14.8%	9.1%
Natural Resources and Mining	-9.1%	-4.5%	18.7%	24.5%
Construction	6.9%	31.1%	48.6%	13.2%
Manufacturing	3.4%	-4.1%	4.8%	9.3%
Service-Producing	14.7%	19.3%	41.2%	18.4%
Trade, Transportation and Utilities	8.0%	15.9%	35.7%	17.0%
Information	11.4%	10.0%	17.2%	6.6%
Financial Services	24.5%	25.7%	65.7%	31.7%
Professional and Business Services	24.6%	26.4%	41.0%	11.5%
Education and Health Services	21.1%	25.7%	41.6%	12.7%
Leisure and Hospitality	18.0%	16.1%	31.4%	13.1%
Other Services	1.7%	-0.6%	24.6%	25.3%
Federal Government		2.5%	20.9%	18.1%
State Government		* * * * *	Data Not Available	* * * * *
Local Government		* * * * *	Data Not Available	* * * * *

Business Numbers

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Business starts	1,027	789	854	1,115	799
Active businesses	5,894	6,068	6,211	6,271	6,059

Major Employers

AK Steel Holding Corp	Mfg
Amylin Pharmaceuticals Inc	Mfg
Armor Holdings Inc	Mfg
Butler County Government	Govt
Cincinnati Financial Corp	Ins
Cornerstone Brands Inc	Trade
Fairfield City Bd of Ed	Govt
Ft Hamilton Memorial Hospital	Serv
Hamilton City Bd of Ed	Govt
Lakota Local Bd of Ed	Govt
Liberty Mutual/Ohio Casualty Corp	Ins
Mercy Regional Hospital	Serv
Miami University	Govt
Middletown Regional Health System	Serv

Residential

Construction

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Total units	2,635	3,060	2,777	1,671	910
Total valuation (000)	\$400,353	\$439,474	\$453,236	\$288,789	\$174,791
Total single-unit bldgs	2,298	2,292	2,573	1,495	851
Average cost per unit	\$168,518	\$177,116	\$171,620	\$185,688	\$196,696
Total multi-unit bldg units	337	768	204	176	59
Average cost per unit	\$38,866	\$43,651	\$57,143	\$63,555	\$125,474